


1932



K-5 3rd.

Summary Mr. Mason's notes.

- 2-14 Left side terrace.
- 2-18 " " "
- 2-19 - terrace (low) at left.
- 2-20 outlet on low terrace at left. (??)





- 1-24 higher terrace
- 2-26




KEUFFEL & ESSER CO., N. Y. NO. 359-141
Millimeters
MADE IN U.S.A.

4-15 - masonry
change in plan.
different from
(rudder) no rest. from



4-12 K-53rd. pier
destroyed by
falling K-51st pier



Left near Corner -

Section 90-90 wide





Wall continues down
behind plinth - 4-60
ledge 25 wall 1.50 above pl.

near 1.45 wide at corner

source from 1.20 higher than terrace at ft. of 5 ft. pier (3)
from 1.80 above terrace. at side


entrance corner - 3rd floor
Remains
pt. in l. entrance K-5-1st

4.10
2.60
1.50





KEUFFEL & ESSER CO. N.Y. 1900, 1925-1941

floor
no surface
mud
hemispherical
to base.
(S)
(S)
2m. E. of E. side
of 2. central
pier (symmetrical
upper level).



Pier exactly in
line with K-5 1st.
Side about 1.1m. to
left of left side of
K-5 1st pier. (3-71) & about
6.25 from inner side of
side wall K-53rd (3-72)

Plaster surface on top, about level
with rubble. Smooth top for .35. Near
rubble below surface of top. (?) top =
.70 below level of floor (?) top =
center which is .30 above "same"
floor near rear wall. (?) (3-73)



K-5 - queries.

- ① Rear terrace immediately below K-5 1st. [see 1932 - Bk I p. 42 - p. 45 -]
- H. of base 1.60 below floor does not agree with reasonable reconstruction
 - What is its true horizontal position in respect to K-5 1st? (Will it line up with corner of "low wall" (90cm) found at the bldg. left-rear corner.) i.e. what is the relation of the two walls both vertically & in plan.
[see 1932 Bk 2 - p. 53]

② → May we disregard the thickness of .30 given for wall at rear of niche? [see 1931 - Bk I p. 68-70 (around)] **yes**


③ → At front - highest terrace ^{wall} of K-5 1st. (L.S. 1937), stands directly on K-5 2nd terrace, the latter projecting only about .25 at the base of the former. Could this have been so also at the point where Poirier located the bottom of the terrace wall?
[1931 Bk 2 - p. 475 p. 11 1/2] [1932 - Bk I - p. 45-48] 25'

Is it reasonable to regard this terrace wall as built on K-5 2nd level at front?

Queries K-5.

- 1) Highest terrace shown at side by Parris corresponds to diff in level between layer of chieas at rear of K-5 3rd & layer of chieas at base of rear wall K-5 1st. Can this be the highest terrace. (with under?)
- 2) ~~K-5~~ 7. p. and top of end K-5 2nd pyr. terrace seen under stairway wall. ∴ This is probably K-5 3rd level, corresponding with Parris drawing.
- 3) The rear wt. corner of terrace shown by Parris when projected to meet front wall of K-5 1st. substr. terrace is too close to the street - side projection of terrace is indicated
- 4) see (1) - If secondary construction found at wt. of K-5 3rd building is above plinth level as shown. Layer of chieas above K-5 2nd floor must be ignored at all terraces except the substr. terrace must slope forward.

If secondary const. is below top of plinth - a great deal of settling must be assumed toward the front and all front terraces must be raised



See latest
phase J-29.

X 23. *Wm*

The reference to "deep" wall explains itself away. The men were first working on an outer, lower, and higher (longer) wall, and then found the upper one. Notes say (p.45) "On 42, rear terrace wall continues down deep. Uppermost (underlined in notes, obviously to distinguish it from lower one just referred to) uncertain terrace wall is about 690 from inner side of front wall (before figured at 630)" Note that levels all refer to level of room of K-5-1st. Walls of temple were not felled until after rear had been dug away. I used no leveling instrument, the flier could not be seen, and the horizontal distance was great. Note that I do not say the wall was 160 high and ^aapparently never measured height, but say that top was about on level of room of ¹ temple, and base about 160 below it. I think we can just forget the latter measurement which won't tie up, and shorten it to the required 110 or so.

In plan it lines up very well, or sufficiently well, with the upper side wall at the south at left rear corner. At rear, left of center I say as above (p.45) that it is 690 from inner side of front wall of temple. Left rear corner is (p. 32/2/53) about 6.10 from same inner side of front wall. This wall also, like that at rear, showed above surface before excavation, was 90-100 max height (like plinth height at front and ~~agreeing~~ agreeing with 160 height of rear wall) and its foot was about 2 m. above floor of K-5-2d. It was presumed to belong to K-5-1st. Relation to floor of latter as regards height not stated, but 2 m. plus 90 would bring it not much above this floor. Difference in distance towards rear at side and near center is 80 cm. but latter distance was at first given as 630, later corrected to 690. Probably latter is correct, and an extension of 80 cm. at rear center; this 80 cm. is the same amount as extension given behind niche. Base of side wall at south was 430 from inside of side wall of K-5-1st. This is just as you have reconstructed it at rear right corner, is it not? Entirely satisfactory to me and indicated by ~~the~~ most of the evidence of my notes. But there apparently must be an extension of something at the sides to n. & s. I think Linton thinks there was a later extension to the outer walls of K-5-1st.

4.

Why not? I see nothing in my notes that would contravene it.

The uppermost pyramid terrace at foot of building platform was not dug out and might well have consisted of 25 cm. of the original K-5-2d terrace plus later extension for K-5-1st. ~~This terrace was not~~ The heights of the two walls, 90 for the plinth, and 160 for the building platform equal the 250 needed for the difference in levels between 1st & 2d. But if, as I think, several statements in my notes indicate that level of K-5-2d was lower at front, and drop achieved by two steps, this difference in level may be ascribed to slope in terrace floor at foot of building platform. 30 cm. of this is indicated in notes which say that base of 90 cm. plinth wall was but little higher than top of 160 cm. building platform wall 380 beyond it. Other notes give 120 from top of 160 cm. building platform wall to top of plinth and level of K-5-1st. This makes 280 difference in level, and as levels were inaccurately taken the difference may have been somewhat greater. That is, notes state that level of building platform was ~~30 cm.~~ 30 cm. below level of K-5-2d at pillar altar, and this might be fudged up or down to eliminate or double this difference to agree with other statements or measurements. The probability is that this lower 160 cm. high wall was originally considerably higher. But I do not see how you can make the 90 cm. plinth wall any higher (or extending further down)

24
-2-

Points for Parrish's Survey of K-5.

(Copied from p. 22, Ek. 5, 1932, Mason)

Surveyed from point of floor of K-5-1st, just inside left entrance, near former survey point but not exactly same.

1050 W. (N?) end base of rear wall at top of ledge & junction with rubble wall in transverse center of bldg.

1051. East end id at junction with east (south, side) sloping wall.

1052. Lowest 3d floor at corner ~~of east~~ made by wide ledge at rear and base of sloping east wall.

1053. Floor level at corner of east wall & south wall. Inner corner.

1054. " " " " (outer) of southeast corner ^{west} south wall at outer side of wide entrance.

1055. Floor level at northeast outer corner of masonry pier at south.

1056. Other corner of wall from 1054, viz: floor level, n.w. corner south wall at outer corner of inside at wide entrance. Measurements also give height of wall, 1.85 cm above.

1057. Point at end of ledge to south, level outside, that of ledge below. Point on wall indefinite.



1058. Highest point of original mound.

1059. West end of northern ledge wall at ledge level, junction with western medial transverse rubble wall.


1060. Outer southeast corner, junction ~~of~~ of outer sides of east & south walls, level of narrow plinth ledge top.

outer

MM



[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page. The text is mirrored and difficult to decipher.]



Book 2

K-5-12!

- Pyramid Altar Peta.

1931 Fri. March 27. (Began Mar Feb 23). Began work on K-5 Mar 24
(BK 1 p 59).

"Ledge on east side average 30 cm above floor: Mar height of wall ... floor at n. side of niche 180 cm. In fallen front entrance found another stone just like that in niche, 20 x 29 at an end, 20 x 23 at other, 58 cm long. Pieces of stucco ornament found in floor mixed with mortar to make fl. Showed bits of color, mainly red & blue.

Behaves good room cleaned out in few days.

(continues): Sat. March 28. 6 men on same job. Found fragments of large lintel on platform in front of pyramid. 6 large pieces and probably many small ones. Very much eroded & fragmentary. Meas. approx 146 x 126 x 30. Two pieces entirely weathered away. (follows description).

Certainly is from main entrance. Was found on small open or platform one third or one quarter down pyramid. Probably stone now at base (29) stood on this platform. This theory is validated by discovery of cache of flints & obsidians & hot's heads on edge of this platform which is now much destroyed. Was also observed about 13.00 below floor of building. Also much destroyed is very difficult to follow. Possibly a stair-case from door of entrance to platform, but practically gone now due to fallen building. Interstices of interior construction appear before stairs are found.

N3 Located on way Wed. 25th. ~~to~~ (no statement of going out through entrance).

P4 - wall 140 cm max height (in slope below other two doors (not told) ... Possibly was a wide terrace below it, but possibly also steps built against it & now destroyed. Present width of this terrace ledge 160 cm & apparently steps in position below it, 30 cm wide & 30 high. The steps below appeared ledge extend considerably to north, but no traces of slope were found against high wall in center of stone stood on this ledge it may have been built out & steps passed around it, but this too far gone to determine.

Mar 30 - 3 men started to hand lintel on north side.

- men cleared doorway of north (rt) entrance to temple 42 (p 11, BK 2)

K-5-1st

BK I pp 63-

Bldg. Polo - J. A. M.

Mar. 26 - Located end south wall + limits of south entrance
in west wall (found L.S.) whole plan now obvious
One large room, oblong